Please return to Ben whight Leter Sept 13

August 1967 G.Rasch, Jon Stene

Some remarks concerning the inference about items with more than two categories. 1e. Pair wise estimation and fit '

1. The model.

Consider an item with m categories of responses, denoted by

(1.1)
$$X : (x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(\mu)}, \dots, x^{(m)}).$$

This item is given to an individual denoted by \mathcal{X} The probability of the response $x^{(\mu)}$ in this situation is given by

(1.2)
$$p\{x^{(\mu)}|\nu,i\} = \frac{\xi_{\nu}}{\chi_{\nu}}, \quad \mu = 1, 2, ..., m$$

where

(1.3)
$$\gamma_{vi} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} \xi_{v\mu} \varepsilon_{i\mu}.$$

The vector

The vector
(1.4)
$$\xi_y = (\xi_{y1}, \dots, \xi_{ym})$$

is a parameter characterizing the individual and v and the vector

(1.5)
$$\varepsilon_i = (\varepsilon_{i1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{im})$$
 ε_i

characterizes item no.i.

By introducing the selection vector

 $a_{vi} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ (1.6)

i.e. a vector of order m with a 1 as its μ 'th component and zeros elsewhere, (1.2) may be written in the form

(1.7)
$$p\{x^{(\mu)} | \nu, i\} = \frac{\xi_{\nu}^{a_{\nu i}} \varepsilon_{i}^{a_{\nu i}}}{\sqrt[\gamma]{\nu i}}$$

where

(1.8)

and

(1.9) $\varepsilon_{i}^{a_{vi}} = \varepsilon_{i\mu}$

 $\xi_v^{a_{vi}} = \xi_{v\mu}$

and ξ_{y} , ε_{i} and a_{yi} are given in (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6), respectively.

Let a questionnaire with k items, each with m response categories be given to N persons.

In this note some of the problems concerning the statistical inferences about the ε 's of the different items will be discussed.

2. On the estimation of the components of the item parameter S

Let us consider two items i and j from the questionnaire. In the following table the observations are summarized. The different elements of the table denote the number of individuals with the corresponding combination of responses i.e. b_{gh} is the number of persons among the N ones which has the response g the item i and h the item j and b_{hg} is the number of persons which has the response h the item i and g the item j.

	1	Ifer j
iten i (2.1)		$x^{(1)} \cdots x^{(g)} \cdots x^{(h)} \cdots x^{(m)}$
	x ⁽¹⁾ ; x ^(g) ; ; x ^(h)	^b 11 ··· ^b 1g ··· ^b 1h ··· ^b 1m ^b 1o
		^b g1 ··· ^b gg ··· ^b gh ··· ^b gm ^b go
		^b h1 ··· ^b hg ··· ^b hh ··· ^b hm ^b ho
	x ^(m)	b _{m1} b _{mg} b _{mh} b _{mm} b _{mo}
		b _{o1} ··· b _{og} ··· b _{oh} ···b _{om}





where the marginals une defined as

(2.2)
$$b_{go} = \sum_{h=1}^{b} b_{gh} - b_{gg} = \sum_{h\neq g}^{b} b_{gh} \neq g$$

and and

(2.3)
$$b_{oh} = \sum_{g=1}^{m} b_{gh} - b_{hh} = \sum_{g\neq h} b_{gh}$$

and

(2.4)
$$N = \sum_{g=1}^{m} \sum_{h=1}^{m} b_{gh} ,$$

The selection vector (1.6) will in the sequel be denoted by e_g when the response is at the category g, and e_h when it is at category h, i.e.

(2.5)
$$a_{vi} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 0) = e_g$$

(2.6) $a_{vi} = (0, \dots, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) = e_h$

From (1.7) we derive by means of (2.5) and (2.6) that the conditional probability of the response g to item i and h to item j, given that the individual no. ν has given exactly one g-response and one h-response on these two items.

$$p\{a_{\nu i} = e_{g}, a_{\nu j} = e_{h}|a_{\nu i} + a_{\nu j} = e_{g} + e_{h}\} = \frac{\varepsilon_{i}^{g} \varepsilon_{j}^{h}}{\frac{e_{g} e_{h}}{\varepsilon_{i}} \frac{e_{j}}{\varepsilon_{j}} + \varepsilon_{i}} \frac{\varepsilon_{i}^{g} \varepsilon_{j}^{h}}{\varepsilon_{i}}$$

(2.7)

(

$$= \frac{\varepsilon_{ig} \varepsilon_{jh}}{\varepsilon_{ig} \varepsilon_{jh} + \varepsilon_{ih} \varepsilon_{jg}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{ig}}{\varepsilon_{ig}} + \frac{\varepsilon_{ih}}{\varepsilon_{jg}}$$

By introducing

(2.8)
$$\frac{\varepsilon_{ig}}{\varepsilon_{jg}} = \delta_g$$
 and $\frac{\varepsilon_{ih}}{\varepsilon_{jh}} = \delta_h$

(2.7) takes the form

(2.9)
$$p\{a_{\nu i} = e_g, a_{\nu j} = e_h | a_{\nu i} + a_{\nu j} = e_g + e_h\} = \frac{\delta_g}{\delta_g + \delta_h}$$

Let $g \neq h$, (otherwise (2.7) is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ and there is no information contained), and let

(2.10)
$$b_{gh} + b_{hg} = n_{gh}$$

From (2.9) follows by the binomial law, that
(2.11) $p\{b_{gh}, M_{gh}|n_{gh}\} = {n_{gh} \choose b_{gh}} \frac{\delta_g \delta_h}{(\delta_g + \delta_h)^n gh}$

Since all the N persons are considered to react stochastically independently and each person is contained in exactly one of the elements of (2.1), it follows that the probability of the actual set of results outside the diagonal in (2.1) is

(2.12)
$$p\{((b_{gh}, b_{hg}))|((n_{gh}))\} = \overline{\Pi} \begin{pmatrix} n_{gh} \\ b_{gh} \end{pmatrix} = \overline{\Pi} \begin{pmatrix} n_{gh} \\ b_{gh} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \\ g \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \\ g \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \\ g \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \\ g \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \\ g \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \\ g \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \\ g \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \\ g \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \\ g \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \\ g \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \\ g \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \\ g \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \\ g \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \\ g \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} g \langle h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{\Pi}}{g \langle h \rangle} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\overline{$$

where ((b_{gh}, b_{hg})) is the whole set of corresponding pairs laying symmetrically about the diagonal and ((n_{gh})) is the set of n_{gh}'s. It is seen that

(2.13)
$$\sum_{h=2}^{m} \sum_{g \leq h} n_{gh} = N - \sum_{g=1}^{m} b_{gg} = \sum_{g=1}^{m} b_{go} = \sum_{h=1}^{m} b_{hh}$$

In order to make the idea simple, consider the case m=3.
Here (2.1) takes the form
$$j_{x^{(1)} x^{(2)} x^{(3)}}$$

 $x^{(1)} b_{13} b_{12} b_{13} b_{10} = b_{12} + b_{13}$
 $i x^{(2)} b_{21} b_{22} b_{23} b_{20} e^{t_7}$.
(2.14) $x^{(3)} b_{31} b_{32} b_{33} b_{30}$
 $b_{01} b_{02} b_{03} N$
 $= b_{14} + b_{31} e^{t_7}$.

4.

The marginals are defined in (2.2),(2.3) and (2.4). The probability (2.12) is then reduced to the form

$$p\{(b_{12}, b_{21}), (b_{13}, b_{31}), (b_{23}, b_{32}) | n_{12}, n_{13}, n_{23}\} =$$

$$= \binom{n_{12}}{b_{12}} \binom{n_{13}}{b_{13}} \binom{n_{23}}{b_{23}} \frac{\check{\delta}_{1}^{b_{12}} \check{\delta}_{2}^{b_{21}}}{(\check{\delta}_{1} + \check{\delta}_{2})^{n_{12}}} \frac{\check{\delta}_{1}^{b_{13}} \check{\delta}_{3}^{b_{31}}}{(\check{\delta}_{1} + \check{\delta}_{3})^{n_{13}}} \frac{\check{\delta}_{2}^{b_{23}} \check{\delta}_{3}^{b_{32}}}{(\check{\delta}_{2} + \check{\delta}_{3})^{n_{23}}}$$

$$= \binom{n_{12}}{b_{12}} \binom{n_{13}}{b_{13}} \binom{n_{23}}{b_{23}} \frac{\check{\delta}_{1}^{b_{12} + b_{13}} \check{\delta}_{2}^{b_{21} + b_{23}} \check{\delta}_{3}^{b_{31} + b_{32}}}{(\check{\delta}_{1} + \check{\delta}_{2})^{n_{12}} (\check{\delta}_{1} + \check{\delta}_{3})^{n_{13}} (\check{\delta}_{2} + \check{\delta}_{3})^{n_{23}}}$$

$$= \binom{n_{12}}{b_{12}} \binom{n_{13}}{b_{13}} \binom{n_{23}}{b_{23}} \frac{\delta_1^{b_{10}} \delta_2^{b_{20}} \delta_3^{b_{30}}}{(\delta_1 + \delta_2)^{n_{12}} (\delta_1 + \delta_3)^{n_{13}} (\delta_2 + \delta_3)^{n_{23}}}$$

This expression is homogenous in the δ 's therefore only the relations between them may be estimated. In order to carry out the estimation some restriction has to be done, e.g.

the estimation some restriction has to be none; e.g. (2.16) $\delta_1 \ \delta_2 \ \delta_3 \ \geqslant 1$ or (2.17) $\delta_3 \ \geqslant 1$. if $\delta_3 \ \geqslant 1$ In the last case the right side of (2.15) can be written Specified S

in the form
(2.18)
$$\binom{n_{12}}{b_{12}}\binom{n_{13}}{b_{13}}\binom{n_{23}}{b_{23}} = \frac{\delta_1^{b_{10}} \delta_2^{b_{20}}}{(\delta_1^{b_{10}} + \delta_2^{b_{20}})^{n_{12}} (\delta_1^{b_{10}} + 1)^{n_{13}} (\delta_2^{b_{10}} + 1)^{n_{23}}}$$

from which the following set of maximum likelihood equations for estimating

(2.19)
$$\delta'_{1} = \frac{\delta_{1}}{\delta_{3}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{11}}{\varepsilon_{11}} / \frac{\varepsilon_{13}}{\varepsilon_{13}}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c} (2.2c) \\ (2.2c$$

19

.

2.1

Ngg => bqq+ bqq = 2 bgg Hen the ban & Syngh (Sg+Sh) $S_g \approx \frac{M_h}{\sum_{h=1}^{h} b_{gh}} / \frac{M_h}{\sum_{h=1}^{h} (N_{gh} / (S_g + S_h))}$ tented wruld be any use? or solve this with Newton's method the Sg $F = \delta_{q} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{b_{q+1}}{2} \left(\frac{h_{qh}}{(s_{q+\delta_h})} \right) = \delta_{q} - \frac{b_{q+1}}{8} \left(\frac{\delta_{q}}{2} \right)$ $F = 1 - \frac{b_{q+1}}{2} \left(-1 \right) \delta_{q}^{-2} \left(-\frac{h_{q+1}}{(s_{q+\delta_h})} \right) \left(\frac{s_{q+\delta_h}}{2} - \frac{b_{q+1}}{(s_{q+\delta_h})^2} \right) \left(\frac{h_{q+1}}{(s_{q+\delta_h})^2} - \frac{h_{q+1}}{(s_{q+\delta_h})^2} \right)$ to redefive by F= Sg - Zbon / Z (ugn / (Sg + Sx)) = Sg - bg+ / 8g $F' = 1 - \left(\sum_{h \neq g} b_{gh}\right) (-i) \left(X_{g}^{-2}\right) \left(\sum_{h \neq g} n_{gh} (-i) \left(S_{g} + S_{h}\right)^{-2}\right)$

which may be solved by usual numerical methods.

3. Control of the model.

(

Consider first the case m=3. Formula (2.15) shows that (n_{12},n_{13},n_{23}) and (b_{10},b_{20},b_{30}) together form a set of sufficient estimators for the model. The probability for the obtained set (b_{10},b_{20},b_{30}) when the set (n_{12},n_{13},n_{23}) is given is

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\delta_{1}^{b_{10}, b_{20}, b_{30}} | (n_{12}, n_{13}, n_{23}) \}}{(\delta_{1} + \delta_{2})^{n_{12}} (\delta_{1} + \delta_{3})^{n_{13}} (\delta_{2} + \delta_{3})^{n_{23}}} \sum_{\substack{b_{12} + b_{13} = b_{10} \\ b_{21} + b_{23} = b_{20} \\ b_{21} + b_{23} = b_{20} \\ b_{31} + b_{32} = b_{30}}} \binom{n_{12}}{b_{12}} \binom{n_{13}}{b_{13}} \binom{n_{23}}{b_{23}}$$

Here we introduce the notation

$$(3.2) \quad \chi((b_{10}, b_{20}, b_{30}) | (n_{12}, n_{13}, n_{23})) = \sum_{\substack{b_{12}+b_{13}=b_{10} \\ b_{21}+b_{23}=b_{20} \\ b_{31}+b_{32}=b_{30}} \binom{n_{12}}{b_{12}} \binom{n_{13}}{b_{13}} \binom{n_{23}}{b_{23}}$$

The conditional probability of the obtained $((b_{gh}, b_{hg}))$ given the sufficient estimators are then derived from (3.1) and (3.2) and (2.15)

(3.3)
$$p\{((b_{gh}, b_{hg}))|(b_{go}), ((n_{gh}))\} = \frac{\binom{n_{12}}{b_{12}}\binom{n_{13}}{b_{13}}\binom{n_{23}}{b_{23}}}{\sqrt[3]{((b_{10}, b_{20}, b_{30})|(n_{12}, n_{13}, n_{23}))}}$$

where the δ 's are eliminated. By means of (3.3) a nonparametric control of the model may take place.

As an example of such a control consider the following. example. Let the items i and j be given to two different groups of individuals. The hypothesis to be tested is that the relation between the two items is the same for the two groups, i.e.

(3.4)
$$\delta_{11} = \delta_{12} = \delta_1$$
, $\delta_{21} = \delta_{22} = \delta_2$, $\delta_{31} = \delta_{32} = \delta_3$.
- assumption of the wodel

Staw one

Control depende an cristeria Systematic partition of data to challenge Sougle-Freedom a) durde gevous fest identify of estimates b) divide items 영상의 성격은 가슴을 즐고 있었어? institute and a second (i.e) **)** al and an is the first of the second Á n in the second seco uland the set of the s n este stall alle yn i'r yter ei ynfret frethef. Alle yn i'r trethef. Yn trethef. Yn trethef. tan tan uta sati sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa

which is one of the assumptions of the model. Let the elements of (2.14) be denoted by bgh for the first group and the corresponding elements for the second group (by bgh For the first group we get

$$(3.5) p\{((b'_{gh}, b'_{hg}))|((n'_{gh}))\} = \begin{pmatrix} n'_{12} \\ b'_{12} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n'_{13} \\ b'_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n'_{23} \\ b'_{23} \end{pmatrix} \frac{\delta_{11}^{b_{10}} \delta_{21}^{b_{20}} \delta_{31}^{b_{30}}}{(\delta_{11} + \delta_{21})^{n_{12}} (\delta_{11} + \delta_{31})^{n_{13}} (\delta_{21} + \delta_{31})^{n_{23}}}$$

and hence

(

$$p\{(b'_{10}, b'_{20}, b'_{30}) | (n'_{12}, n'_{13}, n'_{23}) \} =$$

$$(3.6) = \frac{\delta_{11}^{b'_{10}} \delta_{21}^{b'_{20}} \delta_{31}^{b'_{30}}}{(\delta_{11} + \delta_{21})^{n'_{12}} (\delta_{11} + \delta_{31})^{n'_{13}} (\delta_{21} + \delta_{31})^{n'_{23}}} \cdot \gamma((b'_{g0}) | ((n'_{gh})))$$

For the second group the corresponding probability is

$$p\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (b_{10}^{\prime}, b_{20}^{\prime}, b_{30}^{\prime}) \mid (n_{12}^{\prime}, n_{13}^{\prime}, n_{23}^{\prime}) \right\} = \\ (3.7) \\ = \frac{\delta_{12}^{b_{10}^{\prime}} \delta_{22}^{b_{20}^{\prime}} \delta_{32}^{b_{20}^{\prime}} \delta_{32}^{b_{30}^{\prime}}}{(\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{32}^{\prime})^{n_{13}^{\prime}} (\delta_{22}^{\prime} + \delta_{32}^{\prime})^{n_{23}^{\prime}}} \cdot \int ((b_{g0}^{\prime\prime}) \mid ((n_{gh}^{\prime\prime}))) \\ (b_{g0}^{\prime\prime}) \mid ((n_{gh}^{\prime\prime})) \rangle \\ = \delta_{12}^{b_{10}^{\prime}} \delta_{22}^{b_{20}^{\prime}} \delta_{32}^{b_{20}^{\prime}} \delta_{32}^{b_{30}^{\prime}} \\ (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{22}^{\prime})^{n_{12}^{\prime}} (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{32}^{\prime})^{n_{13}^{\prime}} (\delta_{22}^{\prime} + \delta_{32}^{\prime})^{n_{23}^{\prime}} \cdot \int ((b_{g0}^{\prime\prime}) \mid ((n_{gh}^{\prime\prime}))) \\ (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{22}^{\prime})^{n_{12}^{\prime}} (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{32}^{\prime})^{n_{13}^{\prime}} (\delta_{22}^{\prime} + \delta_{32}^{\prime})^{n_{23}^{\prime}} \\ (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{22}^{\prime})^{n_{12}^{\prime}} (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{32}^{\prime})^{n_{13}^{\prime}} (\delta_{22}^{\prime} + \delta_{32}^{\prime})^{n_{23}^{\prime}} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{32}^{\prime})^{n_{13}^{\prime}} (\delta_{22}^{\prime} + \delta_{32}^{\prime})^{n_{23}^{\prime}} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{32}^{\prime})^{n_{13}^{\prime}} (\delta_{22}^{\prime} + \delta_{32}^{\prime})^{n_{23}^{\prime}} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{32}^{\prime})^{n_{13}^{\prime}} (\delta_{22}^{\prime} + \delta_{32}^{\prime})^{n_{13}^{\prime}} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{12}^{\prime})^{n_{13}^{\prime}} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{12}^{\prime} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{12}^{\prime})^{n_{13}^{\prime}} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{12}^{\prime} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{12}^{\prime})^{n_{13}^{\prime}} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{12}^{\prime} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{12}^{\prime} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{12}^{\prime} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{12}^{\prime})^{n_{13}^{\prime}} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{12}^{\prime} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{12}^{\prime} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{12}^{\prime} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{12}^{\prime} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} + \delta_{12}^{\prime} \cdot \int (\delta_{12}^{\prime} \cdot$$

If the hypothesis (3.4) holds, then the probability is given by (3.1) V The conditional probability for the obtained results in the two groups given the total result is since

(3.8) $b'_{gh} + b'_{gh} = b_{gh}$, $b'_{go} + b'_{go} = b_{go}$, $n'_{gh} + n'_{gh} = n_{gh}$ for all (g,h);

which follows easily derived from (3.1), (3.2), (3.5) and (3.6) 15

$$p\{(b'_{go}), ((n'_{gh})), (b'_{go}), ((n'_{gh}))|(b_{go}), ((n_{gh}))\} =$$

(3.9)

(

$$= \frac{\chi((b'_{go})|((n'_{gh}))) \cdot \chi((b''_{go})|((n''_{gh})))}{\chi((b'_{go})|((n'_{gh})))}$$

where the δ 's are eliminated. If (3.9) is too small the ! hypothesis (3.4) is rejected.

It is possible to carry out this procedure for all pairs of items.

If the probability (3.9) is small for a large number of these pairs, the conclusion is that the two groups react different to the items, and the model assumptions are not fulfilled.

/ the set of Sweler (3.9)?

Consider then the general case. From (2.22) it is derived that

$$p\{(b_{go})|((n_{gh}))\} = \frac{\prod_{g=1}^{m} \delta_{g}^{b_{go}}}{\prod_{g=1}^{m} \prod_{h>g} (\delta_{g} + \delta_{h})^{n_{gh}}} \cdot \delta((b_{go})|((n_{gh})))$$
(3.10)

where

$$(3.11) \bigvee ((b_{go})|((n_{gh}))) = \sum_{\substack{m \\ (\Sigma \\ h=1 \\ h \neq g}} \frac{\widetilde{m}}{\underset{g=1 \\ b_{gh}=b_{go}}{\widetilde{m}} \frac{\widetilde{m}}{\underset{g=1}{\mathbb{N}}} \frac{\widetilde{m}}{\underset{h>g}{m}} \binom{n_{gh}}{\underset{g=1}{\mathbb{N}}}$$

If the two items are presented to two different groups, it may be of interest to test whether the itemparameters are equal for the two groups or not, i.e.

(3.12)
$$\delta_{11} = \delta_{12} = \delta_1, \dots, \quad \delta_{m1} = \delta_{m2} = \delta_m$$

The testing procedure is carried through in the same way as for m=3, i.e. we consider

9.

$$p\{(b'_{go}), ((n'_{gh})), (b''_{go}), ((n'_{gh}))| (b_{go}), ((n_{gh}))\} \} =$$

$$(3.13) = \frac{\chi((b'_{go})|((n'_{gh}))) \cdot \chi((b'_{go})|((n'_{gh})))}{\chi((b_{go})|((n_{gh})))}$$

(

(

where the different γ 's in (3.13) are formed in analogy to (3.11).

This method may easily be generalized to other types ? of control. for example ?

I the the sake of education - wherever you Day "many desive" - it would be better to show how to do it 2) Why vot include the wethod of estimation and he state every of those estimates